WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	-	
١	,	
		_

v.				
Belizario Soto-Granados	Case Number: 12-533M			
defendant has been charged with 8 U.S.C. §1326(a)	3142(f), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court and the and (b)(1). Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I befendant is a serious flight risk and order the detention of the defendant			
	FINDINGS OF FACT			
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:				
The defendant is not a citizen of the	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
The defendant, at the time of the ch	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
If released herein, the defendant fac Enforcement, placing him/her beyor deported or otherwise removed.	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
The defendant has no significant co	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
The defendant has a prior criminal I	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
The defendant lives/works in Mexic	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
The defendant is an amnesty applic substantial family ties to Mexico.	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
There is a record of prior failure to a	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
The defendant attempted to evade	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.			
The Court incorporates by reference the ma Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, excep	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the t as noted in the record.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
1. There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will flee.			

2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: December 17, 2012

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge